

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/582,286	06/12/2006	Bernd Woltermann	3926-225	225 2837	
30448 AKERMAN S	7590 02/20/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 318	8	HELLNER, MARK			
WEST PALM	BEACH, FL 33402-318	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3663		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/582,286	WOLTERMANN, BERND			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Mark Hellner	3663			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

- If NC - Failu Any	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (3S U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any dd patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

AU	u	ш	e	ш	١,

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/17/2006.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Application/Control Number: 10/582,286

Art Unit: 3663

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dieckmann (6.427.111).

Dieckmann discloses a device for automated safe distance control of a motor vehicle, the device comprising: means (20 and 21) for detecting objects and traffic users ahead of the equipped vehicle within a sensed area (figure 1) originating from the means for sensing; means (22) for determining in an evaluation unit the positions and speeds of the detected objects and traffic users to produce control parameters to operate servo means (23 and 30) for braking of the vehicle; means (column 2, lines 31 to 35) in addition to the object data for determining information from a navigation system describing the ahead-lying layout of the road traversed; and means (column 2, lines 16 and 17 for determining when a user of a conventional vehicle is encroaching (changing) to the lane of the equipped vehicle.

The structure recited above reads on claim 1.

Claim 2 is taught by column 3, line 21.

Claims 4-7 and 9-11 read on the structure of Dieckmann performing the process of collision avoidance.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,286

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 12, 13 and 16 read on the structure of Dieckmann applied to claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9-11

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 8, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dieckmann.

Column 2, lines 31 to 35 of Deickmann teach the skilled artisan that known means for providing digital map data be used, thus rendering claims 3 and 14 obvious.

Figure 1 of Deickmann teaches that a wide field of view is desirable for the sensor system. Dieckmann also teaches the detection of vehicles that are encroaching the equipped vehicle lane of travel. It would have been obvious to have covered blind spots when seeking to detect vehicle encroachment from outside of the drivers field of view, thus producing claims 8 and 15.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited show the level of skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

/Mark Hellner/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663

Art Unit: 3663